



Attorneys at Law

Olivera Medenica

Medenica Law PLLC

3 Columbus Circle, 15th Fl.

New York, NY 10019

Tel: (212) 785-0070

Fax: (646) 514-5302

OMedenica@MedenicaLaw.com

January 13, 2016

VIA ECF

The Honorable Lisa Margaret Smith
The Hon. Charles L. Brieant, Jr.
Federal Building and United States Courthouse
300 Quarropas St.
White Plains, NY 10601-4150

Re: **Privado Marketing Group LLC, at al v. Eleftheria Rest Corp.**
13 cv 3137 (ER-LMS)

Dear Judge Smith:

We represent Plaintiffs Privado Marketing Group LLC, DC 114 Cedar NR, LLC, and Don Coqui Holding Company, LLC ("Plaintiffs") in the above referenced matter. We are writing pursuant to your individual rules of practice, and the November 16, 2015 discovery order in this matter, as a discovery dispute with respect to the inadequacy of Defendants' discovery responses has arisen that the parties are unable to resolve.

On December 9, Plaintiffs served via email to Defendants' counsel two discovery demands, one a document request and the other interrogatories. Our email was not rejected as "undeliverable" or otherwise.¹ On December 11, Plaintiffs served amended document requests via email and mail. These amended requests are not relevant for purposes here as they merely itemized a particular subset of financial documents that were already requested under the initial demands.

On January 11, via email, Defendant objected to Plaintiffs' demands on the grounds that they are untimely. Discovery in this matter closed on January 8; 30 days from December 9 is January 8. Defendants claim that because of FRCP 6(d), 3 days are added to Defendants' time to respond. Accordingly, since 3 days from January 8 is January 11, Defendants conclude they have no obligation to respond. Defendants do not dispute that service was proper under FRCP 5(b)(2)(E), and do not claim not to have received our email correspondences.

While Rule 6(d) does tack on 3 days, we are unable to find a single case within this district, or the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, for the proposition that a party is foreclosed from discovery solely based upon Rule 6(d) under circumstances analogous to the circumstances here. Since discovery was bifurcated, Plaintiffs' discovery requests at issue here constitute the *only* opportunity for Plaintiffs to obtain discovery from Defendants. Plaintiffs' requests are neither duplicative, nor unduly

¹ Our office also deposited both demands in a Federal Express overnight envelope that same day (see attached Fedex slip). However, upon our return the next morning, we discovered that the package was never picked up by Federal Express, so we sent it out on December 10th via overnight mail.

burdensome. Should Plaintiffs be foreclosed from discovery, the results would be highly prejudicial to Plaintiffs. Defendants, however, would suffer no prejudice since Defendants' business operations have remained unimpeded. Accordingly, Plaintiffs urge the Court to consider the circumstances and the lack of prejudice to Defendants that would result if Defendants were compelled to answer.

The underlying purpose of FRCP 6(d) is "to protect parties who are served notice by mail from suffering a systematic diminution of their time to respond through the application of Rule 5(b)" which provides that service by mail is complete upon transmission. See Golodetz Corp. v. Lawson, 198 B.R. 441 (S.D.N.Y. Bankr. 1996); Peete v. American Standard Graphic, 885 F.2d 331 (6th Cir. 1989)(quoting 4A CHARLES A. WRIGHT & ARTHUR R. MILLER, FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE §1171, at 514 (1987 & Supp. 1996). The purpose of the rule is not to arm a party with a gratuitous windfall or "gotcha" moment where no prejudice would result. Rather, its purpose is to ensure a party's 30 day time period under Rule 5(b) remains intact. That is the case here, and Defendants have had 30 days to contemplate their responses to Plaintiffs' discovery demands.

Other Circuits have squarely addressed the issue, and have ordered parties to comply with discovery requests served by mail or email between 30 and 33 days prior to the discovery cutoff, as is the case here. See Aevoe Corp. v. AE Tech Co., 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124591 (D. Nev. Aug. 30, 2013); Bishop v. Potter, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85071 (D. Nev. July 14, 2013); TV Interactive Data Corp. v. Sony Corp., 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56861 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 23, 2012); Lowe v. Vadlamudi, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 122860 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 29, 2012).

It is worth noting that Defendants' counsel waited until after the close of discovery to assert this objection, this despite the fact that both counsel spent the entire first week of January in depositions, and conducted a conference before this Court in mid-December. Furthermore, Defendants' strict reading of Rule 6(d) can also be explained by the fact that Defendant John Mangan testified under oath during his deposition that he *intentionally* spoliated evidence in *retaliation* for Plaintiffs' claims made in this lawsuit.² Mr. Mangan further testified that his then counsel, presumably Mr. Golden, did not inform him that such actions were improper. An issue that would certainly need to be addressed should Defendants be compelled to respond to Plaintiffs' discovery demands.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request a conference with this Court to address the issue of whether Defendants should be compelled to answer Plaintiffs' discovery demands. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Olivera Medenica
Olivera Medenica (0589)

Cc: Robert B. Golden (via email only)
Counsel for Defendants

² Defendant John Mangan testified that he had exclusive control of all email accounts associated to the DonCoqui.com domain name, which is the account under which the parties communicated prior to the dispute. Plaintiffs' discovery requests ask for those email communications; which apparently Mr. Mangan discarded in retaliation to the claims made here.



Ship | Track | Manage | Learn | FedEx Office®

FedEx Ship Manager®

[Logout](#)[Help](#)

Ship	LTL Freight	Ship History	My Lists	Reports	My Profile	Administration
------	-------------	---------------------	----------	---------	------------	----------------

Your Shipment Details

From:	Olivera Medenica Medenica Law PLLC 3 Columbus Circle, 15th Floor New York, NY 10019 US 2127850070	Ship date:	12/09/2015
		Weight:	1.00 LBS
		Declared value:	0.00 USD
		Package Contents:	
		Document Description:	
		Shipment Purpose:	
		Invoice number:	
		Freight On Value:	
To:	Robert B. Golden, Esq. Lackebach Siegel LLC One Chase Road SCARSDALE, NY 10583 US 914-723-4300	Pricing Option:	FedEx Standard Rate
		Service type:	Standard Overnight
		Package type:	FedEx Envelope
		Pickup/Drop Off:	Drop off package at FedEx location
		Shipper account number:	[REDACTED]
		Bill transportation to:	[REDACTED]
		Courtesy rate quote:*	17.18
Tracking no.:	775160310998	Discounted variable %:	
		Special services:	
		Shipment type:	Express
		Commercial/Residential Status:	Commercial

[Print](#) [Track](#) [Return to history](#)

Please note :

* The courtesy rate shown here may be different than the actual charges for your shipment. Differences may occur based on actual weight, dimensions, and other factors. Consult the applicable [FedEx Service Guide](#) or the FedEx Rate Sheets for details on how shipping charges are calculated.

FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss. Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$1000, e.g., jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our Service Guide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits; Consult the applicable FedEx Service Guide for details.



Search

Customer Focus

New Customer Center
Small Business Center
Service Guide
Customer Support

Company Information

About FedEx
Careers
Investor Relations

Featured Services

FedEx One Rate
FedEx SameDay
FedEx Home Delivery
Healthcare Solutions
Online Retail Solutions
Packaging Services
Ancillary Clearance Services

Other Resources

FedEx Compatible
Developer Resource Center
FedEx Ship Manager Software
FedEx Mobile

Companies

FedEx Express
FedEx Ground
FedEx Office
FedEx Freight
FedEx Custom Critical
FedEx Trade Networks
FedEx SupplyChain
FedEx TechConnect

Follow FedEx

United States - English